May 14 1890

My dear Sir,

Accept my best thanks for your kind & friendly letter and for the invitation therein contained. I am quite prepared to entertain the idea of writing a book for your 'Contemporary Science Series' –

I would much like to hear what suggestions you have to offer on the matter. Personally I rather incline to a general work on Anthropology written from a biological point of view and not as is usually done. from the 'anthropological' standpoint

Haddon to Ellis, May 14,1890, Haddon Papers, Folder 3, Cambridge University Library. © Cambridge University Library I think it should be possible to bring out the essentially geological character of the study & thus help to reconcile Biologists to it! For example. Archaeology is the

Palaeontology of Anthropology, & shall only be treated as such. Much of Folk-lore

(If the term may be allowed)

is 'psychological Palaeontology'. ^

Savages are an "arrested" or "generalised type," like Chitons – Peripatus, Amphioxus, xxx-the Mud-Fish & so forth etc. The Geographical distrib. of man has many correspondences analogies with that of animals waves of migration. Insular types ^ forms persistence of low types in the fag ends of continents. Pygmies in

the for study & v.

mich Biologists to it of

Ja example archaology is the

lientstop of anthrop gy. & shall

health as such - March of total

By cholological Values to to a such

ge, are me "arusted" n jeneral

"like Chitan - Peripatus, as

Much fire, to forth

the Andaman & in Central African forests. Australians comparable with their own Kangaroos — The geographical distribution of manufactures & especially that of art is now interesting me - & I am making a special study of Papuan art, & its local developments, its evolution & devolution.

The development of customs, & beliefs, ceremonials & so forth of handicrafts & fabrication are embryological features.

If you think this line of thought articulate worth following out I will draw up ^

a skeleton for you. (, should you desire it,

In writing a general anthropology one would be putting oneself in direst comparison with Tylor & his little

imagine

and most excellent book; but I fancy ^ that

^ such a as I have sketched out my book ^ should have ** so sufficiently

an xxxx as causing distinct scheme, to avoid xxxxxx any unpleasantness.

I know the books already published in your series. I am much pleased with them. In Geddes & T.- Taylor & Gomme's there is a distinct influence of the <u>Zeitgeist</u>. The first and the last certainly appreciate the practical value of their

ion

work in reconstructing institution. I am increasingly seeing the importance of anthropological work and heartily echo your wish "to cooperate in any movement for putting anthropology in England in its proper position.

Believe this to be - yours vy faithfully
A. C. Haddon